Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/964,451	KITE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
<u>}</u>	Alicia Baturay	2155
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) Alicia Baturay (USPTO).	(3)	
(2) Alton Hornsby, III (Reg. #47,299).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 04 January 2007.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's represent	ative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: <u>N/A</u> .	e)⊠ No.	·
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-29</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	ral nature of what was agree <u>et</u> .	d to if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments th	r agreed would render the claims at would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to t GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTREQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	he last Office action has alre R OF ONE MONTH OR THI NTERVIEW SUMMARY FOR	ady been filed, APPLICANT IS RTY DAYS FROM THIS RM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
•		
		?
	SUPER ISORY PATENT	EXAMINER
Evaminor Note: Vou must size this face walles it is		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

## Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
  - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

## **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner left a telephone message for Mr. Alton Hornsby on 4 January 2007 to convey that claims 1-23 were in condition for allowance. Examiner further stated that she would like to add the limitation, as it appears in independent claims 1, 10 and 17, of "; and providing a matrix of feeder-distribution interface data in the telephone network, the matrix including a plurality of service field types for identifying different telecommunications services provided in the telephone network, a plurality of facility type fields for identifying facilities having different transmission characteristics, and a spare field for identifying a number of spare circuits for each of the plurality of facility types, wherein the matrix displays a number of circuits for each of a plurality of service types which are being served from each of the plurality of facility types and a number of the different telecommunication services which are capable of being provisioned for each of the plurality of service types based on the number of spare circuits" to claim 24. The examiner also discussed correcting a minor informality in each independent claim 1, 10 and 17. The claims currently read "... and upon receiving a selection of the available wire centers, accessing the information from the relational database based on the wire center that is selected the individual pieces of equipment..." The examiner recommended a change to "... and upon receiving a selection of the available wire centers, accessing the information from the relational database based on the wire centers selected to thereby display for each selected location of the wire centers selected to thereby display for each selected location of the wire centers selected to thereby display for each selected location of the wire centers selected to thereby display for each selected location of the wire centers selected to thereby display for each

Mr. Hornsby left a return message stating that the examiner's proposed changes were acceptable on 5 January 2007. However, the examiner had already sent out a non-final action at the time of the return phone call, and communicated this information to Mr. Hornsby.

Mr. Hornsby telephoned examiner on 24 January 2007 again communicating his acceptance to the examiner's proposed changes and to ask if the examiner would be opposed to writing up an Examiner's Amendment stating these changes. After discussing this case with her supervisor, the examiner agreed to write up the allowance including the Examiner's Amendments as stated above.